Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) SEEWALD, MARCUS J	
10/542,179		
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Elizabeth Gwartney	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	**	lucina or cimplifyina tl	no iccurs for	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	idenig of simplifying the	ie issues ioi	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-18</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. 🛛 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-		
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/	/E C /			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/E. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are not commensurate in scope with the rejected claims. For example, claim 1 is directed to raw sausage meat while applicants arguments are directed to ripened sausage. Applicants arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and do not overcome the rejection of record. Applicants find that "adding folic acid or folate to raw sausage dough has nothing to do with the desirability of adding folic acid or folate to food cereals as a means of reducing the risk of pregnancy affected by neural tube birth defect or vascular disease." Rather, applicants argue "adding these substances in the defined amount to raw sausage meat, results in improved and accelerated ripening of the final product and during such ripening, in an enzymatic fermentation which in turn preserves the sausage." Note, applicants arguments are no commensurate in scope with the rejected calism. For example, claim 1 is directed to raw sausage meat while applicants arguments are directed to ripened sausage.

_